

|                          |                                      |                                       |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br><b>09/103,846</b> | Applicant(s)<br><b>Woychik et al.</b> |
|                          | Examiner<br><b>Jill D. Martin</b>    | Group Art Unit<br><b>1632</b>         |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jill D. Martin

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Kamrin T. MacKnight

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Nov 8, 2000

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed with Applicants' representative that the claims appear to be in allowable conditions with the exception of claims which depend from canceled claims. The Examiner indicated that these claims which depend from canceled claims could be addressed by an Examiner's Amendment if necessary. Also, discussed with Applicants that the petition for the correction of the Inventorship would not be granted without a Declaration or Oath signed by each inventor. Applicants' representative indicated that they would get back to the Examiner as soon as possible regarding the above discussion.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

  
11/8/00

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.